## **Carterton South Structure Plan**

## Summary of Submissions From Open Week Consultation June 2008

Submission Number	Submitter Name and Address for Service	Submitter wishes to be kept informed Y/N	Summary of Submission	Preferred Option
1	No name  No contact details	-	<ul> <li>Up-to-date aerial photo needed. New houses in line of road lines.</li> <li>Proposed school too close to railway line.</li> <li>These are "pie in the sky" ideas.</li> </ul>	
2	No name  No contact details	-	<ul> <li>Currently not many safe places to walk dogs. Would like the central park and other areas dog friendly.</li> <li>Commercial area to have cafes (with wide footpaths for outdoor seating). Great potential for boutique area.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Prefers Option 2 – in particular the central square and commercial zone.</li> <li>Need to maintain quiet and semirural character.</li> </ul>
3	No name  No contact details	-	Carterton needs industrial area close to railway station to generate jobs for expansion of residential development.	
3A	No name  No contact details	-	<ul> <li>Supports walkway alongside railway to stop people using the railway line for biking, play area and walking their dogs.</li> <li>Does not support a road alongside railway as it would become a racetrack.</li> <li>Do not require any more schools.</li> <li>Would like upgrade of toilets in Carrington Park.</li> </ul>	Option 1 – with walkway only along railway track.
4	W R Armstrong  1 Brooklyn Road Carterton		<ul> <li>Road lines bisecting existing properties.</li> <li>The existing semirural character is important, questions the need for residential development, creation of schools and green areas.</li> <li>Council should not create demand by development but development should be guided by demand.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Status quo preferred</li> <li>Option 1 if have to choose.</li> </ul>

5	M D O'Connor  71 Brooklyn Road	Yes	<ul> <li>Option 1 – provides enough structure as needed to guide development for the moment. Other options are over engineered.</li> </ul>
	Carterton		Roads 1 & 2 surplus to requirements, Lincoln Road provides adequate alternative access to CBD.
			Road 3 to extend to Lincoln Road.
			provision of "urban services", eg water, wastewater.
			• Still room for development to concentrate closer to CBD without using further productive land.
6	Dr C G Cherry	Yes	<ul> <li>Low density nature of housing is threatened by continual subdivision into small sections.</li> <li>Option 1 – least impact on submitter's property.</li> </ul>
	7 Rexwood Estate Carterton		restrictions on minimum lot size should be imposed.  • Strongly opposed to potential roading extending
	Cartorion		Rexwood Estate.
			Submitter offered Council a strip of land by railway when developed the subdivision in Rexwood Estate
			<ul><li>but Council turned down.</li><li>Up-to-date photos required.</li></ul>
7	Patricia Venn	Yes	Likes the green walkway and would like park in Philip     Street extended to Frederick Street. Submitter has a
	24A Lincoln Road		concept for this park that would like council to
	Carterton		<ul><li>consider.</li><li>Does not support commercial area or school.</li></ul>
	Ph 06-379-7720		
8	No name	-	<ul> <li>Proposed commercial zone unnecessary, would be better on Lincoln Road.</li> <li>Option 1 – as it suggests cycle/walking tracks instead of roads alongside railway line.</li> </ul>
	No contact details		School not required.
			Important to retain the semi-rural character and tranquillity of the area.
			Walkers would appreciate the north-south pedestrian links between the existing long east-west roads.
9	Blair & Fiona Alison	Yes	Questions the number of road links onto Brooklyn Road.
	39 Brooklyn Road		Negative impact on properties in cul-de-sacs that could
	Carterton		<ul><li>become through roads.</li><li>Would have liked advanced warning or consultation</li></ul>
			<ul><li>with affected property owners.</li><li>Study has already lowered values of potentially</li></ul>
			affected properties.

			<ul> <li>Up-to-date photos required.</li> <li>Want to be specifically consulted as their property has road links in all three scenarios.</li> </ul>
10	James King 61 Brooklyn Road Carterton	Yes	<ul> <li>Supports pedestrian track by railway but not a road.</li> <li>New commercial zone and school are unnecessary.</li> <li>Doesn't want existing houses disturbed by new roads.</li> <li>Open space, privacy and quiet are important characteristics.</li> <li>None.</li> </ul>
11	Jan Eagle  18 Garrison Street Carterton  Ph 06-379-6984	Yes	<ul> <li>Proposed road network reduces the number of long side roads to produce multi-level settlement.</li> <li>Would like to see bus routes.</li> <li>Road network focused on safety and attractive design to increase property desirability.</li> <li>Allow for mix of lifestyle sections and modest family housing.</li> <li>Create a "sense of place" - value the history of Carterton - character defined by native trees and notable exotics.</li> </ul>
12	L Evans & J Taylor  407 High St South Carterton	Yes	<ul> <li>Option 1 preferred as submitter is considering subdividing in the next few years.</li> <li>Would enable more sections being able to exit onto roads other than Transit.</li> <li>Option 1.</li> </ul>
13	Jeremy Were 423 High St South Carterton	Yes	<ul> <li>Up-to-date photos required. Roads go through houses built in recent years. Road 12 goes through stand of mature totara trees.</li> <li>Option 1 will give a good base for what Council is trying to achieve. It has less impact on those in the path of Options 2 &amp; 3.</li> <li>Options 2 &amp; 3 have too many parks will attract undesirable people and not be used by good people.</li> <li>Need to maintain small town feel.</li> </ul>
14	No name  No contact details	-	<ul> <li>Would like to see footpath for full length of Lincoln Road.</li> <li>Supports the creation of cycle routes, overbridges and commercial area.</li> <li>There is a friendly community here.</li> <li>Trees planted/maintained on side streets by Council help to make the community special.</li> <li>Option 2 or 3</li> </ul>
15	S & S Chipp 59 Brooklyn Road	Yes	<ul> <li>As landowners who submitted to keep our area low density, we did not ask for this.</li> <li>Another school not necessary.</li> <li>None.</li> </ul>

	Carterton		<ul> <li>Too many roads as projected population increase (by Stats NZ) does not warrant them.</li> <li>Community is good because of its size, rural atmosphere and &amp; laid back lifestyle.</li> <li>This is not the response that people wanted from the meetings held with Council last year on the Proposed Wairarapa Combined District Plan.</li> <li>Up-to-date photos required.</li> <li>Communication of this process has been poor and the brochure drop distressed some people and they deserve an apology.</li> </ul>
			Current level of servicing needs attention before further growth.
16	Janice Honey 8 Daffodil Grove Carterton	Yes	<ul> <li>Supports the offsetting of intersections in Option 1 between Brooklyn Road and Philip Street.</li> <li>Commercial and school areas are unnecessary.</li> <li>Good things about community are the quietness, little traffic and assurance of personal safety.</li> <li>Proposed roading in Options 2 &amp; 3 are unnecessary and is very expensive. Prefer to use Right of Ways.</li> <li>Money better spent on improving existing infrastructure.</li> </ul>
17	No name  No contact details	-	<ul> <li>Option 1 recognises peaceful, open spaces more than Options 2 &amp; 3 and less disruptive.</li> <li>All existing footpaths should be improved, made safer.</li> <li>Proposed school and commercial zone unnecessary.</li> <li>Important to keep the peaceful and semi-rural environment.</li> </ul>
18	No name  No contact details	-	<ul> <li>Roads 22, 23 &amp; 24 Option 3 are unnecessary as private ways from Road 14 can service this area.</li> <li>Residents in the low density character areas will be upset to have public roads formed through or near their homes.</li> </ul>
19	Lance Lenihan 378 High Street	Yes	<ul> <li>The Royal Oak Tavern distracts drivers attention and if Road 19 connected to SH2 it would become an accident hotspot.</li> <li>Supports the proposed roads for the area between Philip Street and Dalefield Road on Option 2/3.</li> </ul>
20	Margaret & Andrew Priest	Yes	Questions legality of issuing a document that significantly devalues residential properties, without

21	57 Brooklyn Road Carterton	Did not say	<ul> <li>consulting those owners.</li> <li>Option 2/3 requires approximately one third of the submitter's property to be acquired for road, and their shed to be demolished.</li> <li>Wishes for the Council to compensate for devaluing their property and adjust the rates accordingly.</li> <li>Important to maintain semi-rural lifestyle.</li> <li>Alarmed at Council allowing houses to be erected with</li> </ul>
	50 Frederick Street Carterton		small yard requirements.
22	Ian Tollan 50 Frederick Street Carterton	Did not say	<ul> <li>No need to purchase adjoining properties, especially in Frederick, Gertrude, Charles and Daffodil Grove. These streets could be widened with footpaths on both sides in smooth seal, submitter is mostly blind and negotiating existing footpaths and verges is risky.</li> <li>Submitter believes that to go ahead with the plan would cost huge amounts in compensation for land purchased, and would be passed onto rate payers.</li> </ul>
23	JS & G Harland  9 Rexwood Estate Carterton	Yes	<ul> <li>Submitter opposes all options with regard to Rexwood Estate. A pedestrian/cycleway would impact negatively on lifestyle and security.</li> <li>We like that Carterton is a small country town.</li> <li>Roads through Rexwood Estate would ruin the small town lifestyle they have and would be a road to nowhere.</li> </ul>
24	Warren & Lorraine Cameron  41 Philip Street Carterton	Yes	<ul> <li>Submitters are affected by all three options but least affected by Option 1.</li> <li>Option 1 could be beneficial if they wanted to subdivide, but they don't at this stage.</li> <li>Option 2/3 has Road 9 using their existing drive and requiring demolition of a large barn. Would loose the country atmosphere they enjoy, but may be best for long-term development.</li> <li>Council should concentrate on extending Philip Street to Lincoln Road, fixing or moving the water treatment plant on Dalefield Road due to the odour.</li> <li>Can't see why park is needed on Road 8 or road through 29 Philip Street</li> <li>B&amp;B has been successful based on tranquil countryside setting. Would be a shame to loose that.</li> </ul>
25	Henk & Anneke	Did not say	<ul> <li>Opposes the commercial area in Option 2/3 due to its</li> <li>Existing road layout combined with new roading</li> </ul>

	Hiemstra  12 Daffodil Grove Carterton		potential detrimental effect on the semi-rural nature and value of their property.  The realignment of Daffodil and Gertrude to connect to Brooklyn will increase traffic volume, higher speeds, noise and decrease safety. Traffic should be diverted to High St or Lincoln Rd.  Need a greater allowance for pedestrian/cycleway routes particularly in the north-south direction.  Additional school is unnecessary.  More parks/open spaces need to be included.
26	Roger Boulter  PO Box 89 281 High St South Carterton  Ph 06-379-8909 Mob 021-872-654	Yes	Supports the principle of undertaking of the structure plan, but study should spread to the wider Carterton area.  North-south transport links away from SH2 are important.  Up-to-date photos and information is required so that specific proposals can be rethought.  The proposals have upset some local residents and would be best dealt with by repeating the process as they are now aware of the process.  Should include an urban growth strategy for the wider Wairarapa Region.
27	NZ Fire Service  Contact: Henry Stechman Masterton Fire District Deputy Chief Fire Officer  Ph 06-370-9552	Did not say	The Structure Plan should take into account the requirements for fire fighting activities and standards NZS 4404:2004 & SNZ PAS 4509:2003.
28	Andrew Priest  57 Brooklyn Road Carterton	Yes	<ul> <li>Need to maintain semi-rural environment, which the plan degrades by adding roads.</li> <li>Improve train service with Wellington.</li> <li>The plan needs to clearly state its purpose so that people understand what it means.</li> <li>The submitter wishes the plan to contain much more information before a fully informed decision can be made.</li> <li>Communication with local residents needs to be greatly improved. Lots of suspicion exists.</li> </ul>

			Suggestions made regarding future approach
29	A H Thomson 7 Daffodil Grove Carterton	Yes	<ul> <li>Supports new roads in Option 1 linking Charles to Brooklyn.</li> <li>Neither a school nor commercial area is needed. Commercial area would be better on SH2.</li> <li>Extend pedestrian/cycleway of Option 1 along railway right to Lincoln Road.</li> <li>Important to retain low density housing for semi-rural feel.</li> <li>Would like a railway station near the Lincoln Road crossing.</li> </ul>
30	Hank Optland  14 Kenwyn Drive Carterton	Yes	<ul> <li>Submitter would like to see a Poletown "village centre" area created (Lincoln, Charles, Gertrude - Philip). Higher density sections, no less than 650-750m2.</li> <li>Move the commercial zone to Frederick Street in Poletown.</li> <li>Include roundabouts at intersection of SH 2 and local roads with substantial traffic volume.</li> </ul>
31	Sheryll Tearle 69 Costly Street Carterton	Did not say	<ul> <li>Concerned with possible higher density development, enjoys larger section size (farming, growing veges etc).</li> <li>Use of Greenbelts between town and country??</li> <li>Walkway/cycleway systems should connect and link with community features</li> <li>Public service amenities, sports facilities, playgrounds?</li> <li>Transportation costs – school location, shopping area</li> <li>Proposed road cuts through property.</li> <li>Disappointed by the lack of information/consultation</li> </ul>
32	Cyril & Adrienne Butler South Crossing 141 Lincoln Road Carterton	Yes	<ul> <li>Lack of consultation</li> <li>Impact on property</li> <li>Land/property rights</li> <li>Against potential zone changes to property</li> <li>Out of date plans / aerials</li> <li>Totally opposed to any designation on property</li> <li>Totally opposed to any proposed commercial zone and open space over property</li> </ul>
33	Selwyn Blackmore On behalf of: Transit New Zealand	Did not say	<ul> <li>Supports infilling rather than ribbon development</li> <li>Strongly prefer to have no new connections to SH2</li> <li>Concerned with close proximity of intersections and SH2 (particularly Road 19).</li> <li>Option 2 (no connections to SH2) or Option 1 (only 1 connection)</li> </ul>
34	Christine Hammond	Yes	<ul> <li>Prefer provision of open spaces/parks, walkways and road linkages.</li> <li>Option 3</li> </ul>

	PO Box 20 Carterton			
35	Nick & Maureen Wempe	Did not say	<ul> <li>Option 1 allows for growth in southern area</li> <li>Likes the cycle ways and should be encouraged</li> <li>Ability for ratepayers to afford option 1</li> </ul>	Option 1
36	Gloria Lewis 4 Mission Place Opotiki  Sheryl Pearce 17 Omori Road Turangi  Tony Pearce Ngakaraka Te Wharau RD3 Masterton	Yes, all three.	<ul> <li>Concerned with potential road between the urban industrial and character area interface.</li> <li>Location of school near railway is not safe, and noise/vibration affecting school.</li> </ul>	
37	Aaron & Jacqui Saunders-Loder 15 Brown Ave Carterton	Yes	<ul> <li>Need for proposed park?</li> <li>Concern with drainage ditch on Morton road</li> <li>Compensation for loss of land</li> <li>Pines trees – if removed who gets the wood</li> <li>Cost of changes to legal title – Council pay?</li> </ul>	
38	Robin Marshall Clare Bardsley	Yes	<ul> <li>Out of date aerial photos</li> <li>Pleased to see inclusion of cycle ways. Would be great to see a route from Greytown to Carterton to Masterton following the train line.</li> <li>Great to see open space in town.</li> <li>Would like to see rear vehicle access for residential with front pedestrian access.</li> </ul>	
39	Barry & Elizabeth Dittmer  46 Charles Street Carterton	Yes	<ul> <li>Out of date maps. Daffodil grove does not even exist. New roads go through people's houses.</li> <li>Don't want to live on a main thoroughfare to town</li> <li>Need for further primary school??</li> <li>No need for further retail shops – shops in town empty</li> <li>Extend weekend trading hours as per Greytown</li> <li>Want to continue to have larger sections and 'live off their land'</li> <li>Water supply issues</li> </ul>	

40	Michael Dittmer  46 Charles Street Carterton	Did not say	<ul> <li>Concern with potential subdivision of land</li> <li>Out of date aerials and maps</li> <li>Council should focus on water supply first</li> </ul>	Prefer Status Quo
41	Vickie Pickering	Did not say	The best of three is totally useless.	
42	Hilary & David Capper  30 Diamond Street Carterton	Yes	<ul> <li>Is it intended to remove trees for new road?</li> <li>Is it intended to link Diamond St to new road (Road 13)?</li> </ul>	
43	Matthew Morris 78 Brooklyn Road Carterton Mob 027-444-2393	Did not say	<ul> <li>Photos in leaflet should be of south Carterton.</li> <li>Out of date aerials and maps – highly recommended to update aerial photography.</li> <li>Proposed railway corridor access for bicycles and walking is a good idea.</li> <li>Recommend Green belt to promote walking/cycling - a round Carterton loop would be good</li> <li>Need for additional school??</li> <li>Consider option for link between Brooklyn and Costley Street. Much of the land is already owned by Council.</li> <li>No commercial zones needed</li> <li>Preserve low density of Carterton – urban-rural buffer zone creates a good lifestyle.</li> </ul>	
44	Tim & Pauline Porteous	Yes	<ul> <li>Lack of consultation or genuine engagement on structure planning, the district plan and how processes impact on individuals.</li> <li>A Structure Plan should address:</li> <li>Protection and enhancement of natural features, vegetation, landforms;</li> <li>Maximising connectivity through footpaths, cycleways;</li> <li>Enhancement with landscaping on public lands;</li> <li>Providing for future public amenities such as parks, schools, roads and shops; and</li> <li>The relationship between South Carterton and surrounding areas</li> </ul>	
45	Lucy Harper On behalf of: Greater Wellington Regional Council	Yes	<ul> <li>Incorporate Urban Design principles</li> <li>Enhancement of natural features</li> <li>Improving the environmental quality of the area</li> <li>Accessibility of main transport corridors and encouraging different transport modes and public</li> </ul>	

	PO Box 41 34 Chapel Street Masterton		transport	
46	Helen Dew  12 Costley Street Carterton	Yes	Information provided with submission covering issues that should be factored into future planning: Costley Street subdivision submission; and Transition Towns submission. One aspect to emphasise is that there is insufficient provision for low density urban development in Structure Plan Inclusion of a wide variety of lot sizes to encourage mixed density housing would encourage a cross section of the community to live in proximity Larger sites needed for self-reliance and food plots.	
47	R & R Scadden  77A Brooklyn Road Carterton	Yes	Object to Option 2 and 3, especially location of school on boundary Lack of consultation from Council prior to release of document No concerns with Option 1	·
48	H Burbridge  39 Philip Street Carterton	Yes	<ul> <li>Timeframe of plans (2 yrs? 10 yrs?)</li> <li>Gardens a feature of open space, scented gardens, mediation gardens, tree memorial</li> <li>Likes the rural feel of Carterton, and the great parks and gardens.</li> </ul>	Option 2
49	Carrollyn & Russell Keys  40 Clifton Ave Caterton  Ph: 06-379-6433 Fax: 06-379-6453	Did not say	<ul> <li>Value of property will decrease dramatically</li> <li>Environment will be disrupted</li> <li>Infrastructure at current levels cannot sustain what we already have let alone more housing and roading</li> <li>We currently have plenty of parks and schools in our area</li> <li>The Council really need to upgrade what we currently have</li> <li>Do Council propose to buy out unwilling participants</li> </ul>	
50	C & E Voller  82 Brooklyn Road Carterton	Yes	<ul> <li>Develop Southwest area only</li> <li>Would like to see complete redo of plan to include residents consultation</li> <li>Roads 15, 16, 17, 18 and cycle track are unnecessary</li> <li>This process has destroyed everything that made Carterton special; and has devalued property</li> </ul>	
51	Ruth, Malorie & Cullam	No	<ul> <li>Would like one big park, indoor swimming pool, toilets, place for town meetings</li> </ul>	Option 1

	Students at South End School		No more schools
52	Nick, Laura & Daniel Students at South End School	No	Would like indoor wave pool, more shops, fishing pond, indoor skate park, toilets No more schools  Option 2
53	Amanda, Marcus & Cheyenne  Students at South End School	No	Option 3 has more roads to go to different places Would like to see a dairy, café/restaurant, indoor pool, sheltered bus stops, cycle ways on road No more schools (or like Ucol)  Option 3  Option 3
54	Setty, Reagan & Nikita Students at South End School	No	Option 1 has less roads, we don't need half of them on the other plans Would like to see indoor skate park, indoor swimming pool, restaurant, more pedestrian crossings, cycle ways, toilets There are too many parks No more schools  Option 1  Option 1
55	Camlo, Jorden & Oliver  Students at South End School	No	Like Option 1 because there are less roads and no new school Would like to see toilets, dairies, pedestrian crossings
56	Olivia, Kaleb & Reihana Students at South End School	No	No new school, perhaps a sports centre or mall?  Would like to see more toilets, bypass for main road  • Option 2
57	Natalie, Jack & Kendall Students at South End School	No	Cycle way on edge of town, one big park, swimming pool, toilets, skate park.
58	Rod O'Leary  South End School 275 High St South Carterton	Yes	Option 3 because it provides greater access within the south of Carterton to places within it. Provides options for travelling that do not include the High St South main road.  Would like to see more extensive parks, recreation areas, sports ground Schools are a central hub of a community (among

have transport, walk everywhere.  South Carterton Householders are acutely aware of lack of facilities in southern area. Children travel to north of town for recreation and spending their money.  Developing a 'village' in south area would be a good start to creating opportunities for them doing this closer to home.		<ul> <li>South Carterton Householders are acutely aware of lack of facilities in southern area. Children travel to north of town for recreation and spending their money. Developing a 'village' in south area would be a good start to creating opportunities for them doing this closer</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--